

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| APPLICATION OF EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS,) | |
| INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CON-) | |
| VENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE LONG) | CASE NO. 89-359 |
| DISTANCE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AS) | |
| AN INTERLATA CARRIER WITHIN THE COMMON-) | |
| WEALTH OF KENTUCKY) | |

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Excel Telecommunications, Inc. ("Excel") shall file the original and ten copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Include in each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided.

The information requested herein is due no later than January 31, 1990. If the information cannot be provided by this date, Excel should submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which it can be furnished. Such a motion will be considered by the Commission.

1. Has Excel ever provided and/or collected any money from users for the provision of intrastate telecommunications services in Kentucky? If so, provide a complete listing detailing the name and address of all persons paying any money to Excel, the amount of the money paid, the date Excel received the money, and copies of financial statements for the period of Excel's operation

in Kentucky which show the income received by Excel from its intrastate telecommunications services.

2. Identify the facilities-based carriers whose services Excel intends to resell.

3. If Excel intends to resell tariffed services of facilities-based carriers, identify these tariffed services and specify whether these services will be obtained from intrastate or interstate tariffs.

4. If Excel intends to resell services that are not available under an approved tariff, provide copies of the contracts which govern the terms of the agreement between Excel and its facilities-based carriers.

5. Provide a clear and legible sketch showing all the switching locations and/or points-of-presence. Show how the facilities obtained from facilities-based carriers will be used to connect these locations. Include local access facilities and identify the local access that will be used.

6. If switching locations and/or points-of-presence are located outside the Commonwealth of Kentucky, explain how Excel will ensure that intrastate access charges will be paid.

7. Explain how Excel will screen intraLATA traffic if Excel intends to resell services or facilities of carriers authorized only for interLATA traffic but which can carry intraLATA traffic.

8. Does Excel own and/or operate any transmission facilities in the Commonwealth of Kentucky or any other jurisdiction? If so, explain.

9. Does Excel have any affiliation with any other company

which owns and/or operates any transmission facilities? If so, explain.

10. Specify the Kentucky counties which Excel proposes to serve.

11. Specify the facilities and/or services used by Excel to transport calls from the customer's premises to Excel's originating point-of-presence, such as the types of access utilized (Feature Groups A, B, or D, special access, WATS, etc.). Identify the local exchange carriers from whom such access and/or services are purchased.

12. Explain how Excel handles emergency calls.

13. Provide an estimate of sales revenues for Excel's first 2 years of Kentucky operations. Explain how Excel arrived at these estimates. If estimates are based upon a market study, provide a copy of this study.

14. Provide a listing of financial institutions with which Excel has a line of credit. State Excel's credit line with each of these institutions.

15. Provide a toll-free number or provision for accepting collect calls, and point of contact, for customer complaints.

16. State whether Excel is aware of the potential impact of Administrative Case Nos. 323 and 328,¹ now pending before this Commission, that may apply to Excel's Kentucky operations.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 10th day of January, 1990.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

¹ Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, An Appropriate Compensation Scheme for Completion of IntraLATA Calls by Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality; Administrative Case No. 328, Investigation Into Whether WATS Resellers Should be Included in the ULAS Allocation Process.